

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,388	08/10/2001	David Collier	COL001	7101	
. 75	90 02/20/2003				
Diedericks & Whitelaw			EXAMINER		
12471 Dillingha Woodbridge, V	am Square #301 A 22192		SINGH, SUNIL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3673		
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/830,388 Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Examiner Sunil Singh

3673



<del></del>	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del></del>			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is refer Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-38	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>17-36 and 38</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideratio	ก.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-16	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-38</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	nt.		
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed onAug 10, 2001 is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Exam	niner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) [x	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ol>				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm		priority dilati of cities 33 120 dilator 1211			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3)	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6}			

Application/Control Number: 09/830,388 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Claims 17-36 and 38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Groups II and III, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 10.

The examiner notes applicant's comment regarding the restriction between Groups II and Group

III. However, the restriction between Group II and Group III in paper no. 8 is proper. Group III

does not require the method step of "jacking up the deck". Therefore, simply adding a

dependent claim that includes the jacking up step does not overcome the restriction requirement.

In response to this office action applicant should cancel all non-elected claims.

The preliminary amendment filed 8/10/01 has been entered.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: at page 5 line 30,

"attaching two guides" is recited, however it is not clear if the "attaching two guides" recited at

line 30 are the same "two guides" recited at page 5 line 27 or actually two more guides. At page

11 line 20, reference character "22" should be --20--.

Appropriate correction is required.

**Drawings** 

Application/Control Number: 09/830,388

Art Unit: 3673

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do

not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: at page 8 line 25, "A" is

not shown in Figure 5 as stated on page 8 line 25; page 10 line 25, reference character "17" is not

shown; page 14 line 21, reference character "63" is not shown. A proposed drawing correction or

corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Claim 5 line 4, "a said leg chord" is confusing.

Claim 9 line 3, "the let chord" is confusing.

Claim 13 lines 2-3, "the inboard end" and "the outboard end" is confusing because it is not clear

what structure applicant is considering to have an inboard end and outboard end.

Claim 14 line 2, "a coupling plate" is recited; however, claim 9 calls for a coupling plate it is

unclear how they are related.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/830,388 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,16 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dysarz

(US 4388024).

6.

Dysarz discloses an offshore structure (11) comprising a base (19), a deck (13), a plurality

of lattice legs (15-17), the legs are arranged outboard of the deck (see Fig. 2A) and a connection

(see col. 5 line 22) is provided between the inwardly facing face of each of said leg and the deck.

The leg comprises chords that are circular in cross-section (see Fig. 14). The legs are triangular

(see Fig. 1).

7. Claims 1-4, 16 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Blenkarn (US 3392534).

8. Claims 1-4,16 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Estes (US 3385069).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. Claims 5, 9, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Dysarz in view of Structural Steel Design (pages 207,237).

Art Unit: 3673

Dysarz discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However Dysarz is silent about

his connection comprising a shear plate with top and bottom coupling plates. Structural Steel

Design (pages 207 and 237) teaches that it is well known in connecting two structures there are

numerous modes of failure, namely shear, bending and axial; therefore it is known to design a

connection with a shear plate with a top and bottom coupling plates (see pages 207 and 237) to

prevent the above mention modes of failure. It would have been considered obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to modify Dysarz to include the connecting means as taught Structural

Steel Design in order to prevent the structure from failing at the connection point between the

legs and the deck.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 6-8, 10-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,388 Page 6

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford, can be reached on (703) -2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Sunil Singh

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3673

SS 55 2/12/03